

Comment Set C.111: Harley Neva

September 18, 2006

Att: John Boccio/Marian Kadota
CPUC/USDA Forest Service
c/o Aspen Environmental Group
30423 Canwood Street, Suite 215
Agoura Hills, CA 91301
email: antelope-pardee@aspeneg.com

RECEIVED
SEP 20 2006

BY:.....

cc: Julie Halligan, Administrative Law Judge
California Public Utilities Commission
505 VanNess Avenue
Room 5101
San Francisco, CA 94102-3214
jmh@cpuc.ca.gov

cc: SCE, Mike Antonovich & and Los Angeles County General Council Larry Hafetz
500 West Temple
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Sir/Madam,

We are writing to advise you that we are opposed to the Antelope -Pardee Sierra Pelona Re-Route-Alternative 5, as well as the other proposal for Power Lines to run through Leona Valley. It is ridiculous that you might suggest displacing several families in our community, and expose the rest of the residents to considerable danger, when you have the option to make use of existing power lines by upgrading them!

C.9-110-1

Following are the reasons why we are opposed to the proposal for new power lines:

1. Health and safety concerns:
 - a. increased exposure to EMF's associated with childhood leukemia and increased incidence of spontaneous abortions
 - b. 4, 605 tons of waste created by project
 - c. Second highest emissions of any of the proposals
2. Increased fire threat – power lines have potential to cause fire when they are damaged
3. Increased insurance premiums due to increased fire risk
4. Increased fire hazard due to location of transmission lines along the fault line
5. Interference with disaster/emergency preparedness – water dropping helicopters would not be able to reach some areas due to the positioning of the towers
6. Devaluation of Property due to viewscape interference
7. Potential of school closure due to loss of families in Leona Valley
8. Potential interference or damage of well water due to construction/demolition
9. Increased tax basis if we are forced to move
10. Excessive noise associated with construction and maintenance.

C.9-110-2

Due to the fact that no-one from our community was aware of the "scoping meetings" that were held to consult the public – one on June 29, 2005 at the Desert Inn and one on July 14, 2005 at the Santa Clarita Activities Center – we demand that we receive an extension of the comment period of at least 45 days, so that all concerned members of our community may be informed. Anaverde Land, LLC Land Company, and Veluzat Motion Picture Ranch all had the chance to participate in these proceedings, but we did not. We also understand that this has been a two year process and we were not part of the scoping meetings which were held in Lancaster and Santa Clarita in 2004.

C.9-110-3

Thank you for considering our concerns before making your decision.

Harley Neva
39861 – 90th Str W.
Leona Valley, CA 93551
Tel: 661 270 1166

Response to Comment Set C.111: Harley Neva

- C.111-1 A number of alternative routes were identified during the Scoping process to avoid the impacts of SCE's proposed Project. See General Response GR-4 regarding the alternatives identification process for the Project, and General Response GR-5 regarding the noticing procedures for the Draft EIR/EIS.
- C.110-2 Items 1 thru 10 see response to comment C.9-1 thru C.9-11
- C.110-3 Please see General Response GR-5 regarding the noticing procedures for an EIR/EIS. On September 13, the CPUC and the Forest Service formally extended the public review period for the Draft EIR/EIS to October 3, 2006.